

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMEN OF United States Patent and Tradechark O Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1456 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR EH-10963 (03-433) 9237 10/733,510 12/11/2003 Raymond N. Henderson **EXAMINER** 08/11/2004 34704 7590 WILSON, GREGORY A BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. 900 CHAPEL STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1201** NEW HAVEN, CT 06510 3749

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | t |
|--|---|---|---|
| | 10/733,510 | HENDERSON, RAYMOND N. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Gregory A. Wilson | 3749 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion from the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 | December 2003. | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ T | his action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | • | • | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | rawn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | ··· | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | , - | , , | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life | ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | oplication No received in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
|) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview S | ummary (PTO-413) | |
| P) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s | /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| B) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

Application/Control Number: 10/733,510

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McNickle et al (5,558,331). McNickle et al discloses an apparatus with a metal body (2) having first and second faces (SEE Figures 1 & 5), an inboard surface (82) bounding a central aperture, an outboard perimeter (unnumbered), an array of bolt holes (84, 132) between first and second faces, an annular outboard channel (38) and first and second ports (36) formed on the perimeter (SEE Figure 3), a sealing ring (8) residing in an outboard portion of the channel, a divider (structural groove portion) positioned in the channel separating the ports, the flow of fluid (which could be a cooling fluid) through groove (38) (column 4, lines 58-65) enters the flange through the ports; McNickle et al furthermore discloses a mating flange (24') where bolts extend through bolt holes of both mating flanges (Figure 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3749

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plavnik et al (6,684,823) in view of McNickle et al (5,558,331). Plavnik et al discloses a method of ash deposit removal by detonative cleaning and includes a furnace (16) having a wall separating a furnace exterior from a furnace interior and has a wall opening, multiple sootblower outlet is positioned to direct a soot blower gas flow through the opening and has a gas source (32), soot blower conduit (30) portions along a soot blower flowpath between the soot blower gas source and the outlet assembly of the sootblower and positioned along the sootblower gas flowpath (SEE Figures 1, 3, 4, and 6) the outlet conduit is charged and positioned to direct impulse pressure waves into the furnace (16). Playnik et al does not particular disclose structure which connects the soot blower to the furnace. McNickle et al teaches a connective flange structure capable of sealing the end of the soot blower to the furnace and furthermore includes a cooling structure (as stated above) which can protect the soot blower conduit (30) from extreme temperatures incurred during detonation. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains to have modified the soot blower conduit to include the mounting structure as defined by Plavnik et al for the purpose of locally cooling the outlet portion of the soot blower conduit.

Art Unit: 3749

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McNickle et al (5,558,331). McNickle et al discloses the applicant's primary inventive concept as stated above but does not particularly recite the number of bolt holes in the body structure. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide at least 8 bolt holes, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the number of a component, this is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory A. Wilson whose telephone number is (703) 308-1239. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 am - 4:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3749

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GREGORY WILSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

July 29, 2004